

LOUISIANA WILD LIFE AND FISHERIES COMMISSION

---:---

P R O C E E D I N G S

BOARD MEETING

Tuesday, September 24, 1974

10:00 o'clock a.m.

H. C. LUTTRELL, Chairman

Wild Life and Fisheries
Building
400 Royal Street
New Orleans, Louisiana

Kathryn G. Chamberlin,
Reporter.



Helen R. Dietrich, inc.
Stenotypists

333 ST. CHARLES AVENUE, SUITE 1221
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130 • (504) 524-4787

P R O C E E D I N G S

. . . The regular monthly Board Meeting of the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission was held on Tuesday, September 24, 1974, at 10:00 o'clock a.m. at the Wild Life and Fisheries Building, 400 Royal Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, H. C. Luttrell, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT WERE:

H. C. LUTTRELL, Chairman
 M. DUPUY, JR., Vice-Chairman
 J. B. ANGELLE, Director
 D. G. BERRY, Member
 J. G. JONES, Member
 J. H. LAPEYRE, Member
 J. THOMPSON, Member
 D. F. WILLE, Member

A G E N D A

1. Approval of minutes of May 28 and July 30, 1974. (5)

DR. LYLE ST. AMANT.

2. Proposed dredging of approximately 10,000 cubic yards of fill material from the Atchafalaya River about 7500 feet down- (6)



- stream from the Morgan City-Berwick
Railroad Bridge by GUZZETTA OIL COMPANY.
3. Proposed dredging of sand from Six Mile Lake (7)
in Grand Lake Area by WALLACE M. CARLINE.
4. Request to renew permit to remove fill sand (9)
from the Atchafalaya Floodway in the
vicinity of T-14A, R-11E, St. Martin
Parish, by ATHENA, INC.
5. Request for renewal of permit to dredge for (10)
sand and/or fill material from the Mis-
sissippi River between Miles 116 and 117
AHP, opposite Levee Stations 5896 and
6008 in the Pontchartrain Levee District,
St. Charles Parish, Louisiana, by T. L.
JAMES & CO.
6. Request for permit to dredge for approximately (12)
32,000 cubic yards of fill material from
the Vermilion River approximately 1-3/4
miles north of Intracoastal City,
Vermilion Parish, Louisiana, by LUKE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.

MR. KENNETH SMITH.

7. Consideration of University contracts for (14)



fishing research.

MR. ALLAN ENSMINGER.

8. Request from Anschutz Corporation to build a road and drill site on Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge. (18)
9. Request from Pennzoil Producing Company for access to proposed State Lease 6110, Well No. 1 location on Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge. (22)
10. Adoption of regulations for Calcasieu Lake as adopted by Legislative Act 510. (54)

MR. JOE HERRING.

11. Trapping season on Wildlife Management Areas. (67; 73)
12. Discussion of FDA contracts on airstrip on Saline, roads on Saline and Green-Tree Reservoir on Saline Wildlife Management Area. (70)
13. Request of Fifth Louisiana Levee District for right of entry permit on Three Rivers Wildlife Management Area. (75)
14. Land clearing, Catahoula Lake. (77)
15. Morehouse Parish Police Jury deer season change request. (81)



16. Grant Parish Police Jury deer season change request. (85)

OTHER BUSINESS.

- Request for personnel name tags. (88)
- Recognition of visitors. (90)
- October Board meeting dates. (90)
- Change in place of May seasons-setting meeting. (93)

---:---

THE CHAIRMAN: We are ready to start with the agenda for today. I don't believe that I see any guests here that I should recognize, do I? I will ask the other Board members. Oh, yes, Mr. Stanek, I recognize you. Glad to have you here.

We are ready to start with Item 1, approval of minutes of May 28 and July 30. Do I have a motion?

MR. BERRY: So move.

MR. WILLE: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Berry, seconded by Mr. Wille, that the minutes of May 28 and July 30 be approved. If I hear no objection, they shall be adopted.

Dr. Lyle St. Amant, Item No. 2.



DR. ST. AMANT: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, we have five items today. The first item is a request for permit to dredge approximately 10,000 yards of material from the Atchafalaya River downstream from the Morgan City-Berwick Railroad Bridge by Guzzetta Oil Company. This is to establish a slip in the area and to place the soil on the shore in order to improve their land.

We have examined this permit and find it meets the requirements set forth by the Commission. I would recommend that we grant them a one-year permit at a royalty rate of five cents a cubic yard.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the recommendation. Do we have any discussion?

MR. THOMPSON: So move.

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Berry. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.



(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant permission to GUZZETTA OIL COMPANY to dredge fill material from the Atchafalaya River downstream from the Morgan City-Berwick Railroad in the amount of approximately 10,000 cubic yards at a royalty of five cents per cubic yard for a period of one year from September 24, 1974.

DR. ST. AMANT: The next item is a request for permit to dredge fill material by Wallace M. Carline in Grand Lake or Six Mile Lake. This request meets all the requirements set forth by the Commission and it is in an area where we have other permits in existence and where a great deal of silting is taking place. We do not find any problems with the request. Therefore, we recommend that the permit be granted to Mr. Carline,



Mr. Wallace M. Carline, for a period of one year at a five-cent royalty.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the recommendation. Do we have any discussion?

MR. BERRY: I so move.

MR. WILLE: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Berry, seconded by Mr. Wille. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

So ordered.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant permission to MR. WALLACE M. CARLINE to dredge fill material from Six Mile Lake in the vicinity of Grand Lake area for a period of one year from September 24,



1974, at a royalty of five cents per cubic yard.

THE CHAIRMAN: No. 4.

DR. ST. AMANT: No. 4 is a request by Athena, Inc. to renew a permit which they have had in a location in Township 14 South, Range 11 East, for the dredging of fill material. They have had a permit in this area and have satisfactorily operated without any problems. All they are asking is renewal. I would recommend that we grant this renewal, since it meets all the requirements set forth by the Commission.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the recommendation. Is there any discussion? If there is no discussion, do I have a motion?

MR. THOMPSON: So move.

MR. DUPUY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Dupuy. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)



The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant permission to ATHENA, INC. to dredge sand and/or fill material from the Atchafalaya Floodway in the vicinity of Township 14 South, Range 11 East, St. Martin Parish, for a period of one year from September 24, 1974, at a royalty of five cents per cubic yard.

DR. ST. AMANT: The next item is also a request for renewal by T. L. James and Company for dredging from the Mississippi River between Miles 116 and 117. This permit has been in existence and they have operated satisfactorily. Now they ask that it be continued. I find no reason not to continue it and therefore request that you grant the permit.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the recom-



mendation. Is there any discussion? Do I have a motion?

MR. WILLE: I so move.

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Moved by Mr. Wille, seconded by Doyle Berry. All those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant permission to T. L. JAMES & COMPANY, INC. to renew their permit to dredge for sand and/or fill material from the Mississippi River between Miles 116 and 117 AHP, opposite Levee Stations 5896 and 6008 in the Pontchartrain



Levee District, St. Charles Parish, Louisiana, for a period of one year from September 24, 1974, at a royalty of five cents per cubic yard.

THE CHAIRMAN: No. 6.

DR. ST. AMANT: The final request is for a permit to dredge for approximately 32,000 yards of fill in the Vermilion River approximately 1-3/4 miles north of Intracoastal City by Luke Construction Company. This is a similar request to the Guzzetta thing. They are apparently establishing a loading dock in the area, a slip for their equipment, and they plan to use the spoil to improve the surrounding land.

This request meets the requirements set forth by the Commission. We would recommend it be granted for a one-year period at five cents. It is probable that the work will be completed before that time.

THE CHAIRMAN: You hear the recommendation. Do we have a motion?

MR. LAPEYRE: I so move.

MR. DUPUY: Second.



THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Lapeyre, seconded by Mr. Dupuy. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant permission to LUKE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. to dredge approximately 32,000 cubic yards of fill material from the Vermilion River approximately 1-3/4 miles north of Intracoastal City, Vermilion Parish, Louisiana, at a royalty of five cents per cubic yard for a period of one year from September 24, 1974.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Doctor. Mr. Smith.



MR. KENNETH SMITH: Mr. Chairman and Commission Members, the 1972 Legislature requested by resolution that Wild Life and Fisheries and LSU enter into a cooperative study on the white amur or grass carp that has some potential for control of submerged aquatic vegetation in Louisiana. It also has considerable potential for doing damage to our fisheries and our waterfowl habitat resources.

We have had expressions of interest from two other universities to do this type work, Nichols and Northwestern, besides LSU, so we have requested and had approved funds in the current budget to provide these people to conduct the research on this particular fish. We have met with the people and we have indicated areas of research needs. They are provided work plans along this line and we have agreements which have been worked out which are satisfactory.

I would propose at this time that the Director be authorized to sign these agreements so we would provide these universities the funds which we think would move our knowledge ahead in this particular field.



THE CHAIRMAN: I want to ask one question, Kenny. Would you explain for the benefit of the press and the public why we have selected three of our universities.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. We had a request from Nichols. They were very interested in this area, Dr. Ron Killgen particularly, who had done some work on these fish, and he had a proposal that he thought might work on submerged aquatics and possibly even water hyacinths. Since the interest was there and they worked in an area where they could work in brackish marsh habitat, this appeared to be a good location for this particular work.

Northwestern had research going on prior to our approval of these funds on the hybrid carp which had a potential for controlling algae, which is a real problem in some of our ponds. Since they had already moved in this direction, this will help their work along that line.

Then we have Dr. Jim Avalt at LSU, who is outstanding in his work with the grass carp, and of course we have had the legislative directive or request to work with LSU, so this was our primary



reason for going with these three universities.

THE CHAIRMAN: And you recommend that we accept this?

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, I do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, you have heard the recommendation. Is there any other discussion?

MR. DUPUY: I so move.

MR. THOMPSON: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Moved by Mr. Dupuy, seconded by Mr. Thompson. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

Motion carried. Thank you, Kenny.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission has received a request from the 1972 Legislature by way of a House Concurrent Resolution No. 173 that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission and



Louisiana State University undertake a study to eradicate undesirable aquatic vegetation in the waters of the state through all methods including the possible use of the White Carp (White Amur or grass carp), and

WHEREAS, Nichols State University has requested funding and technical assistance from the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission for research into the aquatic weed-controlling capabilities of this fish, and

WHEREAS, Northwestern State University has already begun research on the grass carp-Israeli carp hybrid and additional funding would further the knowledge of the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission insofar as this hybrid is concerned,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby approve the memorandum of agreement between this agency



and the above mentioned universities and agrees to provide each with a lump sum of \$5,000 on receipt of a fully executed agreement.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Allan Ensminger.

MR. ALLAN ENSMINGER: Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Commission, we have two requests for your consideration today. The first one is a request from Anschutz Corporation to construct a board road on the western tip of Rockefeller Refuge. This company proposes to extend an existing road off of private property onto the refuge approximately a mile's distance on the refuge, to reach a drilling site on their lease.

We see no problem with this proposed site and I would like to recommend that you all do grant this company permission to build this board road to their drilling site. Now they have indicated that there is a possibility that they may have problems with this road site and they would like to request at this same time that you consider an alternate route for them to reach their drilling site by utilizing an existing road on



our Rockefeller Refuge to a well location that is a dry hole that was drilled by Pan Am Corporation near our Price Lake area. This road would also be an extension of an existing road, so I would like to recommend that the Commission approve their application as submitted for their proposed road site with an alternate utilizing the Price Lake road if they are not able to execute the original route.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, you have heard the proposal and you have heard the recommendation. Do I have any discussion?

MR. JONES: I have a question. Am I correct, Allan, that some time ago, it was either this company or someone else requested a permit from us to come with a canal from the Gulf into a well location in this area and we turned that down because of our desire to have all future exploration done by the building of roads instead of canals?

MR. ENSMINGER: That is right. They had requested permission to come into the refuge from the Gulf side to drill this location because the



well is within about 400 feet of the Gulf of Mexico and, of course, this would have been the least expensive route for the company to drill a site. I am not sure whether this is the same company that had requested that or not or whether this was a company that was requesting it before a lease was awarded.

MR. JONES: It was probably another company, but it was to drill in this same area --

MR. ENSMINGER: The same general area, right.

MR. JONES: -- and we turned the permit down because we wanted to follow the requirements that we set forth in the invitation to bid, the requirements of doing work on Rockefeller. As I understand, it would have been a heck of a lot cheaper to have drilled the well by the dredging operation rather than what is being done now. This company has agreed to build the road.

MR. ENSMINGER: That is right.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion?

MR. BERRY: I so move.

MR. JONES: Second.



THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Berry, seconded by Mr. Jones. All those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission has received a request from the Anschutz Corporation to construct an access route on Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge to service State Lease 6308, Well No. 1, and

WHEREAS, this access route shall be a road access route which is in accordance with the rules and regulations made a part of the mineral lease, and

WHEREAS, the Anschutz Corporation



has agreed to follow the Pan Am dry hole road system if the requested route cannot be secured,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission does hereby grant to the Anschutz Corporation permission to construct a board road onto Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge from private property to service State Lease 6308, Well No. 1, and also grants permission for an alternate route from the Pan Am dry hole location, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to sign all documents pertaining to this route.

MR. ENSMINGER: The second matter for your consideration today is the request that we have received from Pennzoil Producing Company for a canal location site on State Lease 6110. This is a lease that Pennzoil picked up in July, 1974. It would involve the utilization of the Humble canal system on Rockefeller into Joseph Harbor Bayou. Then they would propose to sweep out an



existing canal approximately a mile and a half and then dig 3,300 feet of new canal to the proposed location.

Here again this location is within about 600 feet of the Gulf of Mexico and, as Mr. Jones mentioned earlier, the lease under which Pennzoil Producing Company, the regulations under which they gained this lease, does contain that they shall build roads wherever it is feasible or the Commission has the authority to permit them to excavate canals for drilling purposes.

This site, if it is granted on a canal basis, will be near the Gulf, as I say, and it would be wise to incorporate safeguards into the permit to protect the refuge from erosion as well as for salt water intrusion if they decide to go with the canal route.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is your recommendation?

MR. THOMPSON: One minute. How about the stabilization of the location so that it won't be encompassed by the Gulf and we lose any of our refuge?

MR. ENSMINGER: Well, regardless of



whatever route you go, I think this should be made part of the permit, that they agree to stabilize the shoreline to make sure that the well never washes into the Gulf of Mexico.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Ensminger, do you think you can sit with the Pennzoil people and work out the proper safeguards?

MR. ENSMINGER: Well, this of course is part of the lease. If you all desire to go with the road system, this is your prerogative, or with the canal, and they will have to live with whatever regulations you incorporate into their permit.

MR. WILLE: Well, isn't the cost of the road prohibitive?

MR. ENSMINGER: Well, this I am sure is their decision, Don.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me a minute. May I ask you to use the mike so that it can be recorded.

MR. DUPUY: Allan, how rapidly is the beach recession occurring in this particular area? Do you have any idea?

MR. ENSMINGER: Marc, we are losing about 70 feet a year in the entire length of Rockefeller



Refuge. This was established several years ago by the Coast and Geodetic Survey people in running beach erosion surveys on the area. It is conceivable that within the next twenty years we will lose another 600 feet or so of the beach there.

MR. BERRY: We should look for shoreline stabilization in that case then. The people who are doing it are doing it successfully. If we are losing that much a year, we certainly ought to do some shoreline stabilization along Rockefeller.

MR. ENSMINGER: Well, there are certain areas on the beach out there that shoreline stabilization might be feasible, Doyle. In some of the areas it would be very, very difficult and very expensive to undertake.

MR. DUPUY: Mr. Chairman, I would be inclined to condition a permit upon the future use and future drilling of any other wells that may result from production and discovery of hydrocarbons here, using this same canal in the same location for directionally drilled holes, and with a further obligation that the company maintain or protect the end of the canal in such a way as to



take every precaution possible to prevent erosion from occurring to the point where the end of the canal becomes accessible into the open Gulf.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no further discussion, are you willing to put that in the form of a motion?

MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, I have something to say.

THE CHAIRMAN: You second it?

MR. JONES: No, I want to discuss it some more.

MR. THOMPSON: You didn't put it in a motion?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, no, not yet. I haven't called for the motion.

MR. DUPUY: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Jerry.

MR. JONES: Well, I have served on the Commission for a number of years and Jimmie has, too, and we have had a liaison committee with the Mineral Board, and we would meet periodically. Years ago we sat down and we revised the regulations under which operations on Rockefeller and



the other refuges would be conducted.

A little of the history for those who are not familiar with it. When developments in Rockefeller commenced, we had a canal system that ran all over the refuge. We have just had nothing but headaches. Now the canals in some respects have really helped us, but I think they have hurt us more than they have helped us. Accordingly, a number of years ago we revised the regulations, which are known to the bidders when they submit their bids. I don't know if you have the regulation there, but it is quite clear. It says that they shall build roads when feasible. The next sentence says that the Commission and the Mineral Board will make the determination as to whether it is feasible or not.

Now, if we backtrack from that -- I am greatly concerned -- we have a small canal here, 3,000 feet, it is not very big and I am not sure that it is going to do that much damage, but what concerns me is that we are now going to turn around in a different direction and go back to development by the use of canals, and I think we



ought to be real careful when we do that, because we have required everybody else -- for example, the people, Anschutz, we just approved the permit for, as I said, someone previously had requested a permit to drill by coming in from the Gulf and we told them under no conditions would we let them do that and that they were going to have to do it by road.

Now to get into that location from the road, these people are going to have to spend a considerable amount of money, because they are some four or five miles from the state highway. They are going to have to use existing roads and build some more roads and they are going to the expense of doing that to protect our interests and their interests under the lease.

I am concerned about the fact that, well, we are now going to let Pennzoil, who has operated on the refuge for years and who has an extensive levee system and there are some problems with that levee system now, we are going to turn around and let them dig 3,000 more feet of canal. When they got the lease, they knew that they could be required to build a road. They knew it was going to cost



more, and I really can't, I have mixed feelings about starting all over again and let's go out and dig Rockefeller up.

It is real important here because they are right on the beach, and since 1954, in 20 years, by taking the map that you have there, you can see that the beach has eroded the approximate same distance that they will now be from the beach, so if we project it 20 years ahead, that well is going to be sitting in the Gulf of Mexico. It has different complications as far as we are concerned, whereas if they were out there with the well sitting on the land, it is going to be their monkey to protect it from washing into the Gulf.

Personally I just don't see where they have justified our completely turning around now and saying, "We are going to let you dig up Rockefeller." I realize we get revenues off it. I realize that we need the gas and the oil and I don't argue that. What I say is, when these people got the lease, they bid along with everybody else on those same conditions, and it says you build roads. Now, if it costs \$400,000 to build a road, they



bid it that way.

MR. BERRY: Jerry, may I say this? First of all, Rockefeller wouldn't be very important to the Wild Life and Fisheries other than the game and fish management area were it not for the oil companies going in there and digging these so-called nasty canals.

MR. JONES: I take issue with that.

MR. BERRY: I for one appreciate these canals because a lot of people get a chance to fish in a lot of areas they couldn't fish in if it weren't for these canals, and that goes for the Atchafalaya Swamp, Atchafalaya Basin, Rockefeller and the rest of the areas down in that part of the country.

And, secondly, don't you think this Commission would be derelict in its duty if we don't do some shoreline stabilization work and let this thing erode another 700 feet into Rockefeller? Do you think we as prudent Commissioners are doing our job if we don't do something to stop that erosion?

MR. JONES: I agree with that 100 percent.



I think the problem, Doyle, is --

MR. BERRY: If it is going to move in there 700 feet, what is it going to do?

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a minute, just a minute. Go ahead, Jerry.

MR. JONES: I agree, if we had the funds we ought to get out there and stabilize the whole thing. No question about that. But I think that is not the issue here. The issue here is, are we going to change our policy that we have sat down with the Mineral Board and listed to our biologists and people who have really been instrumental in developing Rockefeller. Are we going to sit down now and turn backwards and start digging some more canals?

I am greatly concerned about it. I think it is wrong. It wouldn't be so bad if we hadn't given them notice before they bid as to what they were going to have to do, and that is clear in those instructions.

MR. BERRY: That thing says where it is feasible, Jerry.

MR. JONES: But we decide where it is



feasible. The next sentence says that. We do, and the Mineral Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, let me recognize each of you and let each fellow take his turn, if you please. Mr. Berry, go ahead with your discussion.

MR. BERRY: Well, it is my humble opinion, after talking with the Pennzoil people this morning and other members of the Commission, that this is one of the, I think, exceptions, that it wouldn't be feasible to drill this well if they weren't allowed to dredge this canal in there. I think they have agreed to go ahead and protect Rockefeller property in a prudent manner, not to say that they will go out there and stabilize three or four miles of shoreline, that we should, I think, in fact stabilize ourselves, but I think they will agree to bulkhead the end of their slip or whatever is necessary so when the shoreline does move in, if we sit here and let it happen -- and I think we are getting enough revenues off Rockefeller we can afford to spend some money on shoreline stabilization -- but if the thing does move in, if it



is a dry hole, they can either plug the canal, and certainly they are not going to lose their well site because of erosion; they will protect it in some manner.

MR. JONES: Let me just give you another illustration of the money that different operators have spent at Rockefeller in trying to live up to this. Amoco, formerly Pan American, they had a lease out there. They developed it from the highway with a road system. They wanted to drill a well outward and they would have to cross one of the existing canals. Well, normally -- and we wanted to use the canal, so they couldn't put a bridge. These people went and got a barge and built a movable span bridge in one of our canals at considerable expense, just so they could develop it with a road system and not dig these canals.

We have required people to spend a heck of a lot more money to fulfill these requirements than apparently is going to have to be spent here. In the marshes, you are familiar, many companies have operated in this way. I know, for example, that right down the beach about eight miles from



here, at the mouth of the Mermentau, Phillips operates in the exact same manner. They barge in their equipment. They have got a road system from there to the beach that goes into the marsh. It is not something new we are requiring them to do.

I think our primary purpose on this Commission is to protect that refuge. We have an obligation under that donation to protect it. We have gotten together with the Mineral Board and we have adopted rules and I think we ought to follow the rules. If the rules hurt, they just hurt.

I talked this morning favorably for letting them have a permit under certain conditions where they could barricade this and that, but I really have mixed feelings about it, and I think that is even wrong. I think we have got a rule and they knew about it and they ought to live up to it.

MR. BERRY: Jerry, how much money has the Wild Life and Fisheries Commission taken off the Rockefeller Refuge in royalties?

MR. JONES: My last count was 50-some million dollars in bonuses, royalties and rentals.



MR. BERRY: And we are supposed to take care and maintain that property, and yet we have not done any shoreline stabilization.

MR. JONES: Well, you know, if they had not taken \$26 million of it and used it for something else in the State, we would have it. They took it away from us.

MR. BERRY: And I still think that we will get much more than that off it, particularly in view of the increased prices that we are going to get for the oil and gas on Rockefeller, so we certainly should have some money to do some shoreline stabilization with, and I think we ought to look into that very strongly. If that is done, then I think this canal would be moot almost.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion, gentlemen, anyone?

MR. DUPUY: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

MR. LAPEYRE: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me --

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lapeyre, go ahead.

MR. LAPEYRE: It seems to me that the question here is centered on what is feasible and



what is not feasible. We are only obliged to impose conditions that are feasible. From what I have heard so far, it would be difficult for me to vote yea or nay on this issue until we get a little bit more clarification of feasibility. That's all.

MR. DUPUY: Mr. Chairman, along the lines of that same thought, the lease requirement provides that roads are to be used where feasible and the determination of feasibility is the joint responsibility of the Mineral Board and the Wild Life and Fisheries Commission. It would seem to me that, even though a 30-day delay might be an undesirable thing from the standpoint of the oil company, that maybe our responsibilities would be better served if we did ask the Mineral Board to join with us in making a determination of the feasibility of the road.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, as the Chair I want to express one opinion. As we adopt regulations, we should be very careful about what we do adopt, but unless some real emergency arises, I am not as a rule in favor of changing those regulations. This is just simply a belief on my part. I am not



trying to influence anyone's vote. I am not well informed about the problem down in that area.

Now, do we have any other discussion?

MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, I think the problem is so great that I think the members of this Commission ought to go down and take a look at that marsh system to acquaint yourselves with it. I really concur in what Marc says, that we have a pending joint committee with the Mineral Board and this matter could be referred to that committee, with I think three or four members from each commission on it, and let them give a recommendation.

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K. Do I have a motion?

MR. LAPEYRE: I so move, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, now let's understand the motion, because it has been quite controversial.

MR. LAPEYRE: That the issue not be voted until a study of feasibility is made.

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K. I have a motion by Mr. LaPeyre that the issue not be voted until a feasibility study can be made. Do I have a second?



MR. JONES: I will second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Seconded by Mr. Jerry Jones. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

MR. BERRY: I would like to ask Mr. Bright if this is going to affect their lease down there, to wait another thirty days before you get an answer on this.

MR. ROBERT BRIGHT (Pennzoil): Well, it will cause us some delay and money but I couldn't grab a figure right now. We had a rig lined up for the location. It would be inconvenient but I don't know whether it would cause us to forego the drilling of the well. We could just put it off, but it would be a considerable inconvenience on such short notice. We have a rig lined up for it and ready to go. We were inclined to assume approval and we were trying to get on the docket of the Mineral Board this week so that they could consider this at their October 9th meeting and be out in the field working shortly thereafter.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Chairman, I would like to offer an amendment to the motion, that we permit



Pennzoil to dig this canal with the proper safeguards in it to keep the erosion from affecting the Rockefeller property, and that Mr. Ensminger be instructed to sit with the Pennzoil people and insert the proper safeguards that will keep this canal from becoming a part of the Gulf.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. You have heard the amendment. Gentlemen, the Chair rules that since a positive vote had been called for and a negative vote had not been called for, the discussion was not over and it was perfectly proper that discussion could continue. Now, does anyone oppose that ruling?

MR. THOMPSON: Say that again.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair rules that since a positive vote had been called for and a negative vote had not been called for, that discussion could be reopened. Therefore, we can have a substitute motion, or an amendment. Does anyone oppose that ruling or do you accept that?

MR. JONES: Never oppose the Chair!

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K. Now I think you will find that is correct. I have a very good --



MR. WILLE: I will second you on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K. Therefore, we will have to vote on the amendment first. I am not certain that I can state this amendment in full. Did you get it all? The amendment -- correct me now if I don't state this correctly -- the amendment to the motion is that we allow these people to operate, to dig a canal on the property, with the safeguards necessary to prevent the loss of this property to the Gulf, and the safeguards to be placed into the regulation by Mr. Ensminger. Did I quote that reasonably correct?

THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now you have heard the amendment. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: We will have to have a hand vote on this.

MR. JONES: What is that? I am sorry. My apologies.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are voting on the amendment. It has been amended by Mr. Berry, and seconded by --



MR. JONES: We have not adopted the amendment?

THE CHAIRMAN: We are voting on the amendment, that the motion be amended to permit the digging of the canal, with certain safeguards being placed in by Mr. Allan Ensminger.

MR. THOMPSON: One minute. Are we still under discussion?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, sir, we are not under discussion at this point. We cannot.

MR. THOMPSON: Cannot discuss.

THE CHAIRMAN: I respect the ruling back there. We can't open this for discussion on the amendment, can we? I am asking you.

MR. PETER DUFFY: Me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Under the same conditions. We had a positive vote but we have not called a negative vote, and as long as the negative vote has not been called, we can still discuss.

MR. DUFFY: I think it could be discussed.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think you are right. The Chair corrects himself and we are open for discussion on the amendment. Mr. Thompson is recognized.



MR. THOMPSON: O. K. I would feel similar to Mr. Jones. However, if this vote goes, that we amend this, we are really reversing our situation here of the amendment. What we are doing is killing your motion and putting in a new motion. If this goes, and possibly it will and possibly it won't, I would want more put into that amendment. Can you amend the amendment?

THE CHAIRMAN: You can one time.

MR. THOMPSON: Well, let me just say what I am trying to say. If this thing is going to go that way, I definitely want more than just to preclude the fact that the Gulf may come in and engulf this location and this land be turned over to some other agency or some other ownership and we lose our participation in the well. I would want many things put in it, such as protection from salt water intrusion, regardless of where the shoreline is, that if there is a dry well that they would fill up the ditch and not leave it there but it would be completely filled up. Let's put it back like it was, and all of these things which you have not spelled out.



Doyle, are you following me? Would you consider that?

MR. BERRY: I would be glad to add that to my motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a minute. Mr. Berry, are you willing to accept the additions to the amendment?

MR. THOMPSON: I am not making any additions. I am just discussing it.

MR. BERRY: I would add this to my motion, Mr. Chairman.

MR. THOMPSON: If this thing is going to be voted, I would like to have it protected to the most minute detail.

THE CHAIRMAN: What I meant to say, Mr. Thompson, was if he would accept the additions. I didn't mean accept --

MR. BERRY: I will accept that. When I put it in Mr. Ensminger's capable hands, I was sure it would be taken care of.

MR. THOMPSON: Well, wait a minute.

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a second now. The Chair recognizes Mr. Thompson.



MR. THOMPSON: I don't know how I am going to vote.

MR. BERRY: My intention of my amendment was to discuss with Mr. Ensminger that he would put in the proper safeguards to keep out salt water intrusion and for them to refill the canal if it was a dry hole, and I think Mr. Ensminger understands that, when we discussed it this morning, and that is why I said --

MR. THOMPSON: I want an even further step, and as I just discussed with you when I should have been paying attention, and I apologize.

MR. DUPUY: I wish you would!

MR. THOMPSON: Well, I didn't.

THE CHAIRMAN: You must be recognized.

MR. WILLE: Well, I have been trying to for the last fifteen minutes!

MR. THOMPSON: All right, I yield to Mr. Wille.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wille, you are recognized.

MR. WILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I certainly appreciate that. I thought I was going



to have to stand in line.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, in reality you do.

MR. WILLE: What I would like to say is that under the present conditions, or as the lease would be executed, actually we are going to have a soil erosion preventative measure put in by United Pennzoil on the shoreline, which we don't have at the present time, so they are going to protect this wash from coming off the shore, is that correct? Is that going to be written in the lease?

THE CHAIRMAN: That hasn't been. Do you want to add that?

MR. BERRY: No, sir, not going to protect the shoreline. They are not going to stabilize the shoreline. They will stabilize, I am sure, their canal, to keep the Gulf from coming in, but you can't ask them to go out and do shoreline stabilization on Rockefeller.

MR. WILLE: I am talking about protection of their own well.

MR. BERRY: I am sure they are going to protect their own well. Certainly that will be in the lease. I think Mr. Ensminger understands that.



Jimmy, what other portion did you want in there, want us to spell out in this amendment?

MR. THOMPSON: The same thing that Don is talking about there. We are interpreting it differently. You interpret it as putting a bulk-head or something to stop the water from coming in. My interpretation would be that this shoreline be stabilized so far as the well is concerned, so that -- in other words, here is what I envision. I envision the shoreline receding, that we no longer own the land beyond a certain point, and that this mineral residue that we would be getting at such a time as this shoreline would be established to be in a certain amount would then revert to some other agency or some other ownership.

MR. BERRY: Jimmy, if we don't take some of the revenue we get off this property in the next few years and do some shoreline stabilization, which we are long past due doing, then shame on us.

MR. THOMPSON: That is what I want you to put in the motion, that this be done, and also that we be protected from our deed of donation. The thing that worries me more than anything is our



deed of donation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: I think we are overlooking one thing and that is -- and I appreciate these things that Jimmy is talking about and Doyle is talking about putting in there, but you know we have a committee composed of members of the Mineral Board and members of this Commission and our staff, and that is the purpose of that committee, and what I think Marc has in mind is we refer this matter to that committee. Assume that committee comes up and says, "Yes, we think Pennzoil ought to be permitted to dredge the canal." The committee will then recommend to us, one, two, three, four, in writing, exactly what precautions have to be taken.

If we sit up here and we try to right now off the cuff throw in this protection and that protection, I don't think we are really doing the right thing. If we refer the matter to that committee, I am not saying the committee is going to tell Pennzoil to build the road, but that is what we have got it set up for and if that committee decides that this is an exception, they can then



recommend to us that you give them the permit to do the dredging under these conditions. I think then we would be proceeding in a very orderly way. We would be protecting our interest on the refuge. The Mineral Board would be protecting their interest. I think it makes for a very orderly procedure.

I realize the gentleman from Pennzoil says that it is going to delay them for thirty days or something like that, but this is important to us. It is important because we are making an exception. If we are going to make an exception, let's get back to that committee that made the rules. We can proceed in an orderly fashion that way.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Jones, are you aware of the fact how long it takes to get a drilling rig now and what it encompasses to drill a well, the problems you have today with everything being short, the problems you have of getting fifty things together at one time in one place, and the effort these people no doubt put out to get these things to come along and come into being at this particular point in time?



MR. JONES: Everybody that comes to the Commission for one of these permits is in a hurry. Everybody that comes is in a hurry. They took the lease under these conditions and I don't think that just because somebody is in a hurry that we should then just throw the book out and don't follow the rules.

MR. BERRY: I agree with you partially, Mr. Jones, but there are exceptions.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Am I in order to move that we take a five-minute recess? I so do if I am.

THE CHAIRMAN: You are in order.

MR. THOMPSON: Then I move that we take a five-minute recess.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any objection? If there are no objections, we will have a five-minute recess.

(A short recess was here taken.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, we are now ready to reopen discussion on the Pennzoil request.



I believe Mr. Berry had the floor last and I will recognize Mr. Berry.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Chairman, under the circumstances and after due consideration, I am going to withdraw my amendment to the motion. However, I would like to point out that one reason I was interested in this well being drilled and interested in expediting it and something that has not been brought out today is that this natural gas, if it is a producing well, is going to go to our local industries within the state, I think Lake Charles, if I am correct about that. As you know, we have been told on numerous occasions lately that much of our industry in this state might shut down and operations be curtailed because of lack of natural gas to run our factories with. I hope we can explain that to some of those folks who go out of work, out of work for 30 or 45 days or maybe six months, because we are out of natural gas and can't run the industry and our welfare rolls continue to swell and the food stamp lickens get going again, that we can explain what we have done here this morning.



THE CHAIRMAN: Now, Mr. Jones is entitled to rebuttal and I call on Mr. Jones, recognize Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: I don't have any rebuttal. We discussed the matter in the recess and I would like to withdraw my motion and make another motion, but in explanation, we have a standing committee now, a liaison committee with the Mineral Board, which includes myself, Mr. Dupuy and Mr. Berry, and Mr. Berry is chairman of that committee.

I am now going to move --

THE CHAIRMAN: First let me accept the withdrawal.

MR. JONES: O. K.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair accepts the withdrawal of the amendment of the motion. Now I am ready for the motion.

MR. JONES: I move that a permit be granted to these people under the conditions to be established by the Mineral Board liaison committee, and I want to emphasize that that is not deciding whether it is a canal or it is a road, but that the committee will meet prior to the Mineral Board



meeting of October 9, with the Mineral Board committee, and will at that time decide what type of operation we will permit by Pennzoil.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the motion by Mr. Jones. Do I have a second?

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Seconded by Mr. Berry. Those in favor say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Those opposed, say no.

(No response)

The motion is carried, gentlemen.

Now we are ready for No. 10, Mr. Schafer. Oh, hold it, hold it, I am sorry.

MR. ANGELLE: May I get a little clarification?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, yes.

MR. ANGELLE: For the purpose that I may get some calls on this. What we are saying in the motion is that three members of the Commission will make a decision before October 9, either to grant or not to grant --

MR. JONES: With the Mineral Board.



MR. ANGELLE: -- with or without a permit.

MR. JONES: That's right. In a meeting with the Mineral Board liaison committee, and we hope to establish that before the Mineral Board has its meeting on October 9.

MR. ANGELLE: And you are not bringing this back for consideration before the Commission?

MR. JONES: No. We are going to make a report next month what we did and justify what we did or get clobbered for what we did.

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to say this, before you start, Harry. I want this for the record. I feel and I would like for the public to know that this Commission is not a yes-man Commission and that occasionally we do have these differences of opinion but we have always been able to resolve them in a very amiable manner, and I hope as long as I am on this Commission that we can resolve these things in an amiable manner. I think it is good and I want to commend the men for their statements and their argumentation and their facts and for the way that it was consummated.



That is all I wanted to say.

All right, Harry.

MR. SCHAFER: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, Calcasieu Lake in Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes was inadvertently included in the fresh water habitat as far as the mesh size for taking commercial fish. As you know, Calcasieu Lake is a salt water lake and they do have a good population of salt water game fish and salt water commercial fish and there are approximately ten fishermen who fish Calcasieu Lake to furnish both fish and crab bait to the crabbers down there, provide fish for the market and bait for the crabbers, and they do have this mesh size that is to be used in salt water. Under the designation that it is fresh water, these fishermen can no longer fish in there.

Also, under Act 510 recently passed by the Louisiana Legislature, the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission is given the authority to set seasons, to regulate the type of gear used, and to set possession limits for speckled trout and other estuarine fishes in Calcasieu Lake.



I would like to recommend at this time that the net sizes used in Calcasieu Lake be the same as that used in other salt water areas in the state, namely, this being seines not less than 7/8 inch square or 1-3/4 inch stretched, trammel nets not less than one inch square or two inches stretched, gill nets not less than 1-1/2 inch square or three inches stretched, and that each seine, trammel net and gill net used in Calcasieu Lake shall not exceed 1,000 feet in length.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion?

MR. THOMPSON: Question. Calcasieu Lake is definitely a salt water lake?

MR. SCHAFER: It is a salt water lake.

MR. THOMPSON: O. K. Something I didn't ask, did you have any opposition to this from fresh water fishermen or anybody?

MR. JONES: I can answer that. You had a lot. What has happened is there is competition between sport fishermen who are salt water fishermen and the commercial salt water fishermen about who is going to get the fish. We have had a number of meetings with both of them, and the biologist,



Charlie White, conducts the meetings, and they are still going to have some more. What they really want to do is work out a set of complete regulations about when and where you can fish and under what circumstances you can fish, commercial fishing, in Calcasieu Lake. Up to this point they have not worked those out.

The Calcasieu Rod and Gun Club is very active in doing that. As the law is right now, the commercial fishermen are out of business completely. This is really an interim thing to permit them to go back in and start commercial fishing now, with our biologist to continue and hopefully within thirty days to have a set of regulations that meet with the approval of the Rod and Gun Club and with the commercial fishermen.

It is really a temporary measure because they are out of business now completely.

MR. THOMPSON: Well, I would like to make the motion and I would like to make it for a period of two months.

MR. JONES: I will second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any other dis-



cussion? Mr. Wille.

MR. WILLE: I would like to amend that motion and see if we don't have a committee on this sort of thing.

MR. JONES: Don't have what?

MR. WILLE: A committee to outline the regulations and everything before it goes into effect.

THE CHAIRMAN: I don't believe we have. Do we have a committee? There is no committee.

MR. WILLE: I think that the regulations of Act 510 and this particular body of water, if there is this much opposition, should be revised, not revised necessarily but looked into by a committee and come up with a report on the thing. I think that would be the only fair thing to do.

MR. SCHAFER: Mr. Chairman, we have met with both factions down there on numerous occasions and we did have a set of regulations that we were going to present to the Commission this day, but we have gotten calls from the Senator and Representatives down in the area to hold off on those regulations until they can have a public meeting,



they said sometime around the middle of October.

MR. WILLE: All the more reason to have a committee, don't you think so, Harry? A group to check the regulations and adopt them and come back to the Commission, is what I am saying in my amendment.

MR. THOMPSON: The purpose of my motion was simply this. Harry, I would like to rap your knuckles a little bit. You didn't tell us this yesterday, and I don't know why, the thought just occurred to me, I remember a fellow named I. J. Kurigais (phonetic spelling) saying, "God-danged those doggone X X commercial fishermen."

MR. SCHAFER: Jimmy, I didn't think I would have to tell you every time I came up here that there is always a controversy between sport and commercial fishermen.

MR. THOMPSON: No, but I would think that certainly you would always have to tell me the pertinent facts. I do think that, yes.

I still would like to leave my motion that we give this permission or set these rules for a period of two months and that way you are



going to have to do something at the end of two months. This is just purely for a two-month period so somebody has got -- Don, we won't need a committee under those circumstances, I don't think.

MR. WILLE: What are we going to do? We don't have any regulations on the thing.

MR. THOMPSON: Well, Harry is going to have to go out and put all this together. He is going to have to come in and say ten want it, twelve don't want it.

MR. WILLE: Who adopts the regulations, Jimmy?

MR. THOMPSON: We do.

MR. WILLE: Well, then damn it, we ought to have a committee.

MR. THOMPSON: I don't think we need one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let me interject my thinking on this. If Calcasieu Lake is a salt water lake entirely and we have a set of regulations governing the seine size and so forth for salt water lakes, then Calcasieu Lake, being an entirely salt water lake, should come under those regulations



under which we are operating for salt water lakes. Now the Commission can do what they please about it, but I think that you have a set of regulations, Mr. Wille, governing this thing, because you do have a set of regulations governing a salt water lake and Calcasieu Lake is a salt water lake.

That is not in the way of a motion. It is just in the way of an observation.

Any more discussion? I recognize Mr. Berry.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Chairman, I address Mr. Jones. That is his backyard. What do you recommend, Jerry?

MR. JONES: Well, you have a highly competent capable biologist by the name of Charlie White who has the respect of the commercial fishermen and the respect of the legislators, the respect of the Rod and Gun Club. He has worked hard, trying to promulgate the regulations. He thought he had them and then the legislators -- he sent me a copy of the proposal and I came down here assuming that was what we were going to do -- the legislators have asked him to have a public meeting



just to be sure that everybody has been able to have their say. The problem is, in the interim the commercial fishermen are out of business and the sport fishing, the speck fishing, is just about wound up right now. The purpose of this action today is to permit the commercial fishermen to fish during this interim period. Charlie is going to come back through Harry with the proposed regulations that he has and we could look at them at that time. That is the whole purpose of it.

MR. WILLE: Well, I will withdraw my amendment on that then. Jerry, you know what is going on down there in that particular area, I am sure. The only reason I was thinking about it was to take it off the biologist's back and put it on --

MR. JONES: I would rather see it on his back because he is the most qualified man to handle it. Not only can he handle the fish, he can handle the people.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I accept the withdrawal of the amendment. Now, is there any other discussion? If I hear none, do I have a motion?



MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir. I made the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: I had forgotten you did. Motion made by Jimmie and --

MR. JONES: I seconded it.

THE CHAIRMAN: -- I have forgotten the motion now. That permission be granted to use webbing to correspond with the salt water webbing for a period of two months. Those in favor --

MR. THOMPSON: On Calcasieu Lake.

THE CHAIRMAN: On Calcasieu Lake, yes. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

Motion carried. Thank you.

MR. SCHAFER: Mr. Chairman, clarification. Does that start this day?

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the intent of the motion, gentlemen?

MR. THOMPSON: Well, that is when we voted on it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it starts today.



(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, Calcasieu Lake in Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes was inadvertently included within the fresh water habitat as far as the net mesh sizes to be used, and

WHEREAS, Calcasieu Lake is a salt water lake and has a good population of salt water commercial fish species, and

WHEREAS, there are approximately ten commercial fishermen who fish salt water commercial fish in Calcasieu Lake to provide fresh fish to the markets and they also provide bait to the crab fishermen, and

WHEREAS, under Act 510 passed by the Louisiana Legislature in 1974, gives the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission the authority to set seasons, regulate the type of



gear used and set possession limits for speckled trout and other estuarine fish in Calcasieu Lake,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission hereby sets the net mesh sizes used in Calcasieu Lake the same as those used south of the boundary line described in Section 364, Title 56 of Louisiana Revised Statutes, used for designating minimum mesh requirements for the use of seines, trammel nets and gill nets. The mesh sizes to be used in Calcasieu Lake are: Seines, not less than $7/8$ inch square or $1-3/4$ inches stretched; trammel nets, not less than one inch square or two inches stretched; gill nets, not less than $1-1/2$ inches square or three inches stretched. Each seine, trammel net and gill net in use in Calcasieu Lake shall not exceed 1,000 feet in length. This is for a two-month



period beginning Tuesday, September 24, 1974.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Joe Herring.

MR. HERRING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The first thing we have is a recommendation for trapping season on some of our wildlife management areas. These are set at some different dates after the trapping seasons have been set by the Fur Division and it takes into consideration the major hunting periods that we have on our wildlife management areas and also the use of dogs on the areas and also our turkey season.

The first will be November 20 through December 20, which will be Cities Service and Georgia Pacific. The other areas, November 20 through February 20, Bodcau, Grassy Lake, Loggy Bayou, Soda Lake, Spring Bayou, Thistlethwaite, Union and Caney. December 2 through February 20, Concordia, Lutcher-Moore, Red Dirt, Red River, Sabine, Sabine Island, Saline, Three Rivers, West Bay and Catahoula.

The next will be December 2-February 28, Bohemia, Bonnet Carre, Pearl River. December 2



through January 3 and January 20 through February 20, and this is taking into consideration the experimental deer season that we have had on our Jackson-Bienville Wildlife Management Area and it will be for Jackson-Bienville. The next will be November 20 through February 1, Russell Sage Wildlife Management Area.

These are the recommendations we have for the seasons on these areas. We have a Salvador, Point-au-Chien and Pass-a-Loutre the same as the outside.

MR. DUPUY: Joe, one question. Generally speaking, you are attempting to set the hunting seasons, I mean the trapping after the hunting season, particularly in the areas where quail and other hunting dog types, which run over the management area, would not be endangered and harmed by the traps. Is this basically what you are trying to do?

MR. HERRING: We do have some areas where we take that into consideration, on such areas as Cities Service, Georgia Pacific, these that we are having quail hunts on because in our meetings over



the state with the quail hunters, this is one of the problems we had. We are getting an increased number of quail hunters in and we didn't realize at one time that this would be a problem, so this is one of the considerations along with the others that we did take in.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have a question, Joe. You know, we do have some of the game management areas in which we permit the use of dogs for hunting rabbits. Have you taken that into consideration also?

MR. HERRING: Yes, sir. We have taken into consideration all the seasons we possibly could and try to get the major hunting seasons over and the pressure out of it before these trapping seasons were set here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, you have heard the statement.

MR. BERRY: I so move.

MR. DUPUY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Moved by Mr. Berry and seconded by Mr. Dupuy. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.



THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, the trapping season on wildlife management areas is set to conform within the dates of the State trapping season, and

WHEREAS, the major hunting seasons, turkey trapping, bird hunting and general use are taken into consideration when setting the seasons, and

WHEREAS, the recommendations are as follows:

1. Areas recommended for a November 20, 1974 through December 20, 1974 season are: (1) Cities Service and (2) Georgia Pacific;
2. Areas recommended for a November 20, 1974 through February 20, 1975 season are: (1) Bodcau, (2) Grassy Lake,



- (3) Loggy Bayou, (4) Soda Lake, (5) Spring Bayou, (6) Thistlethwaite, (7) Union Parish, and (8) Caney;
3. Areas recommended for a December 2, 1974 through February 20, 1975 season are: (1) Concordia, (2) Lutcher-Moore, (3) Red Dirt, (4) Red River, (5) Sabine, (6) Sabine Island, (7) Saline, (8) Three Rivers, (9) West Bay, and (10) Catahoula;
4. Areas recommended for a December 2, 1974 through February 28, 1975 season are: (1) Bohemia, (2) Bonnet Carre, and (3) Pearl River;
5. Areas recommended for a December 2, 1974 through January 3, 1975 and January 20 through February 20, 1975 are: (1) Jackson-Bienville;
6. Areas recommended for a November 20, 1974 through February 1, 1975 season are: (1) Russell Sage;



7. Salvador, Point-au-Chien and Pass-a-Loutre the trapping season is recommended the same as the outside season;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the 1974-75 trapping seasons on wildlife management areas be set as recommended.

THE CHAIRMAN: No. 12, Joe.

MR. HERRING: Just one other thing, Mr. Chairman, since you asked that. On all of these game management areas we do have so many people on these, not like open land or club lands or something else, we have sometimes several thousand people on some of these wildlife management areas at one time, and so we take into consideration the trapping season after our major influx of people in hunting of different types.

The next item we have on the agenda, we have four projects that are out on bids now, which come under our Federal Disaster Assistance program. This is 100 percent federal funds which will be on a reimbursement basis for damages done during the 1973 flood. Now the bids will be opened on these



projects by the State Department of Public Works and also the State Highway Department this week. Some of them have been out on bid before and we didn't accept them, but now we have the approval from the Federal Disaster Administration people that should these be higher than anticipated, they would give us the approval to accept them at their cost.

Now these are road repairs on our Saline Wildlife Management Area, which is by the Highway Department; road repairs on our Three Rivers Wildlife Management Area, also by the Highway Department; our air strip repairs on our Saline Wildlife Management Area, which is by the Highway Department; and the Green Tree Reservoir that we have on our Saline Wildlife Management Area, which will be for the Department of Public Works.

What I would like to ask of the Commission is that they give approval to the Director to accept these bids, provided they meet the approval of the Department of Public Works, the Highway Department and the Director's staff, so that we will not have to hold up on these for another



meeting, since they will be opened this week, and provided that everything would be in agreement with these groups that we could go ahead and proceed with the work.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the recommendation, gentlemen. Is there any discussion?

MR. THOMPSON: So move.

MR. JONES: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Jones. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, bids are being received for four Federal Disaster Assistance Administration Projects, and

WHEREAS, these projects are:

1. Saline Green Tree Reservoir
Repairs;



2. Saline Wildlife Management Area
Airstrip Repairs;
3. Road Repairs on Saline Wildlife
Management Area; and
4. Road repairs on Three Rivers Wild-
Life Management Area, and

WHEREAS, these bids will be
opened in the next week and all funds
are 100 percent federal, and

WHEREAS, should we wait until
the next Commission meeting, these pro-
jects will be held up one month,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED
that the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries
Commission authorize the Director to
accept bids from these projects pro-
vided they meet the approval of the
State Highway Department or State
Department of Public Works and the
Director of the Louisiana Wild Life
and Fisheries Commission staff.

THE CHAIRMAN: Joe, I want to go back
to 11 just for a minute. The thought occurred to



me, I know that some of these traps being used by trappers are quite powerful. What would be our responsibility if a person on the game management area got into one of these traps?

MR. HERRING: Well, I suppose it would be the same, Mr. Chairman, as if he got shot on a game management area or anything else would happen on there. It is his responsibility --

THE CHAIRMAN: No, no, no, no, if he got shot, I don't think he would be shot by one of our agents, which might be the same condition, but this trap being placed in there without permission.

MR. HERRING: It is his responsibility. These are small, mostly for raccoons and in some cases nutria.

MR. THOMPSON: Clay thinks we are going to use one of my traps! Have you seen my traps?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I have seen his trap and it is not going to be used over there because we haven't got any float that is big enough to carry it out there! O. K., Joe, thank you.



MR. HERRING: The next item we have is a request from Mr. Roy Aguiard, Chairman of the Red River Waterway Commission, asking for ingress and egress rights and permission to do stabilization work on our Three Rivers Wildlife Management Area, which is on Red River. The area described is Mile 14.5-L, which would be Alligator Bayou in Concordia Parish, Louisiana. This will be of benefit to the Commission in making bank stabilization along the Red River there, to keep any of our land from washing, and it also will be of benefit, you might say, to the citizens of our state.

With this I would just like to recommend to the Commission that we do grant to the Red River Waterways Commission permission for ingress and egress and work on bank stabilization on the Three Rivers Wildlife Management Area.

MR. THOMPSON: So move.

MR. JONES: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen of the Commission, do you have any discussion? If not, it has been moved by Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Jones. Those in favor, say aye.



IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the
resolution is here made
a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, Mr. Roy Aguiard,
Chairman, Red River Waterway Commission,
has requested a permit to construct
channel stabilization on the Red River, and

WHEREAS, this stabilization work
will be done at Mile 14.5-L, Alligator
Bayou, Concordia Parish, Louisiana, by
the U. S. Corps of Engineers, and

WHEREAS, the property involved
is in Section 9, Township 2, North, Range
7 East and Section 73, Township 2 North,
Range 8 East, Concordia Parish, Louisiana,
and

WHEREAS, access to the job site
for heavy equipment and for personnel
used in the performance of the work



will be over navigable waters, and

WHEREAS, this work will prevent erosion and wash of land on our Three Rivers Wildlife Management Area,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this request be granted, provided that (1) all damages to roads or other structures be repaired to normal condition by the U. S. Corps of Engineers, and (2) that before work begins the construction agency notifies our District Office in Ferriday of their intent to begin work.

MR. HERRING: The next item we have, bids have been opened for the clearing of scrub trees and land for waterfowl improvement on Catahoula Lake by the State Department of Public Works. The only received was from the Pelican Truck Line, Inc. of Jena, Louisiana. We gave a lot of alternates in requesting this bid because of the money that we had set up in our budget for this and the money that we were allowed. We requested actually seven alternates. The base bid was for 800 acres, and they gave a bid of \$96,000. The alternates were



700 acres for \$84,000; 600 acres for \$72,000; 500 acres for \$60,000; 400 acres at \$48,000; 300 acres at \$36,000; 200 acres at \$24,000.

Now, going back to Alternate 6 or the last one, they said if we accepted it for the 200 acres, they would request that the completion date be December 31, 1974, provided that water levels permit them to work in there. All other bids then would take 365 days to complete the job. It figures out at \$120 per acre and the only one that we have the money set up for would be Alternate 5, which is the 300 acres. We have \$40,000 set up and this is for \$36,000.

I would like to request of the Commission that for the time being we not accept this but we contact the Division of Administration and see if they would give us permission to use this money to buy equipment of our own and do this work ourselves, because the water is high now and we will not be able to get in there and work at this time and the contractor wouldn't, and I feel like on a day-to-day basis that we could possibly have our own equipment and do the job. I would like to just ask



permission that we be given this authority to do this.

MR. WILLE: I would like to make a motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: You move, Mr. Wille?

MR. WILLE: I would like to make a motion, yes, sir, that we transfer the money to buy equipment because that is way out of sight on that price of clearing that land and I think we could do better ourselves by buying the equipment and we would have it from now on.

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Seconded by Mr. Berry.

Do we have any discussion? If none, those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

Motion carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, bids have been received by the State Department of



Public Works for the clearing for waterfowl management of Catahoula Lake, and

WHEREAS, the only bid received was from Pelican Truck Line, Inc., Jena, Louisiana, given on an alternated basis, and

WHEREAS, the alternates are:

Base bid to clear 800 acres was \$96,000 or \$120 per acre. There were six alternates after the base bid; these were:

<u>Alternate</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Deduct</u>	<u>Cost</u>
1	700	\$12,000	\$84,000
2	600	24,000	72,000
3	500	36,000	60,000
4	400	48,000	48,000
5	300	60,000	36,000
6	200	72,000	24,000.

If Alternate No. 6 is accepted the contractor stated that he wanted a completion date of December 31, 1974 provided water levels permit. All other bids he requests 365 days to



complete job.

WHEREAS, with equipment the personnel of the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission can clear these areas when water is at a suitable level,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the State Division of Administration be contacted and request that permission be granted to transfer the \$40,000 for land clearing to the purchase of equipment to do this work by Commission personnel.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Joe. Next, 15.

MR. HERRING: Mr. Chairman, the next item we have on the agenda is a request and resolution from the Morehouse Parish Police Jury to change the boundaries of our any sex deer season around the Coulee Wildlife Refuge. Presently, they are not suggesting a change of days, they are just suggesting a change of boundaries. We have four days set up in our second segment, which would be November 23 through 26.



Now in making the boundaries this last year, this year, for our seasons we dropped down from a pipeline to a road in a couple of instances there, which included about five or six thousand more acres of land in this, although most of it is agricultural land. Our District personnel have checked this area out prior to making their recommendations on the season as well as checking it after this request came in.

Our recommendations would be to leave the season as set for the any sex season November 23 through 26 because the private landowners in that area, if they do not want to harvest doe deer, they do not have to, so I would recommend then that we leave the season as it is and if anyone is having agricultural problems with deer damage, then they can take care of their problem.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I would like to make a statement on this thing. It is well known by the Commission and I hope by the public as a whole that I oppose vigorously changing regulations after they have once been adopted and published. We invite these police jurymen and I



respect those people, they are the lawmaking bodies of their respective parishes and they are quite important people and I know they have to consider the wishes of their constituents, but we do invite them, not once but several times, to come to our meetings and then even after that meeting if something comes up and they would get it in to us in time before we go to press, I could very easily see the changing of these regulations. But I want you to construe what I am saying simply as a statement, but I do not and cannot agree with changing regulations once they have been printed. I think it places a burden on the enforcement division that they shouldn't have to carry, to try to enforce a regulation that is printed and in someone's back pocket with a group of people because the regulation has been changed.

That is my sermon. Do we have any other discussion? If we don't do I have a motion?

MR. BERRY: Yes, sir. I so move.

MR. DUPUY: Second.

MR. THOMPSON: Move what? The motion --

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Mr.



Berry, seconded by Mr. Dupuy, that the request from the Morehouse Police Jury be declined. Those in favor, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the resolution is here made a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, the Morehouse Parish Police Jury has requested that the any sex deer season boundaries near the Coulee Wildlife Refuge be reduced in size, and

WHEREAS, the technical staff from our District II office has made the recommendations as set, and

WHEREAS, these recommendations are sound on a biological basis and will not hurt the deer population, and

WHEREAS, the lands in this area are privately owned and much



agricultural land; the landowners do not have to kill doe deer if they do not desire to do so;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the any sex deer season as set for the first four days of the second segment of the deer season November 23-26, 1974 not be changed in the area as requested in Morehouse Parish.

THE CHAIRMAN: No. 16, Joe.

MR. HERRING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The next item we have on the agenda is a resolution also from the Grant Parish Police Jury and also a phone call from Representative Richard Thompson pertaining to the any sex day that we have in that Parish. The Parish set up for November 23 or the first day with or without dogs for an any sex season. We have received this resolution from the police jury, requesting that an any sex season not be held in this Parish.

I would like to state that our field personnel in making their recommendations did make checks in this Parish. This recommendation did



come from our biologist in that area and we made a doe season in several other parishes so that we could disperse our hunters throughout north, central and other parts of Louisiana on this day as far as doe seasons are concerned, so we would not congregate them in any one parish or area.

Since then we have checked this again since our original recommendations and since the Grant Parish Police Jury has made this request, I have discussed this with our technical personnel and at this time I would recommend that we keep the season as set, that we will have the any sex season as scheduled in Grant Parish. That is my recommendation.

MR. WILLE: Move that we decline.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the statement and recommendation and the motion by Mr. Wille, that we decline the request. Do I have a second?

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Seconded by Mr. Berry. Now is there any discussion? If there is no discussion, how do you vote? Those in favor, say aye.



IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.

(No response)

The motion is carried.

(The full text of the
resolution is here made
a part of the record.)

WHEREAS, the Grant Parish
Police Jury by resolution has requested
that an any sex deer season not be held
in Grant Parish as set for November 23,
1974, and

WHEREAS, the recommendation for
the any sex season was made by the
District III technical staff, and

WHEREAS, these recommendations
were made on a sound biological basis
and will not be harmful to the deer
herds,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED
that the any sex deer season as set for
November 23, 1974 in Grant Parish not be
changed but remain as set by the Louisiana



Wild Life and Fisheries Commission.

MR. HERRING: Mr. Chairman, just for the record on that first one, Morehouse Parish Representative Ensminger did call also pertaining to that one, so I would just like that for the record. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Joe. Now this finishes our regular agenda. Do we have any other business to come before the Commission? Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: It has been my observation that we need a name plate on some of our personnel and some of our people as reference. I will give you a little background on this. I have had people call me and say, "Listen, I talked to that soandso fellow. You know, he looked like -- " this and that, they didn't know the name. I particularly speak of the people in our front line of communications with the community and our public relations people. They are unable to name certain people.

Now I know that Dick Yancey is probably known all over the state, but when he goes into



certain meetings, someone will say, "Well, which one is Dick Yancey?" Similar to the State Police, they have a little silver bar that has a name plate and you can learn to call these people sometimes on a first-name basis, et cetera.

So I would like to recommend to this Commission that we ask Mr. Angelle to investigate this situation between now and next month or the month after and come back to the Board with a report, if feasible and if desirable, et cetera, and who should be covered if we should adopt such a practice.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you make that in the form of a motion, Mr. Thompson?

MR. THOMPSON: I don't think it has to be in the form of a motion. Just in the form of a request.

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K., either way.

MR. THOMPSON: Any way you want it. I will make it a motion or a request.

MR. ANGELLE: Thy will be done, Mr. Jimmie!

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, if I hear no



objection to the request, it will be so done.

Now, do we have any other business?

Gentlemen, under other business, the October meeting mark your calendar for the 28th and 29th of October.

I want to recognize some people that I think highly of and that were not here at the beginning. I recognize Mr. Stanek. Mr. Veillon is here now and I want to thank him for being here. There is a person here that I especially want to welcome, Mrs. Mike Cook, who is a regular visitor and a very fine person and we are very glad to have you, Mrs. Cook.

MRS. COOK: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ed Lennox is a regular visitor, and thank you for coming, Mr. Lennox.

Now I want to request that at the end of this meeting the Board adjourn to the Director's office for dessert, and I want to include in that invitation to go to the Director's office Mr. Yancey and Dr. St. Amant.

MR. ANGELLE: Mr. Chairman, I didn't get a chance to read all of the memorandum that Mr.



Yancey put on my desk late yesterday afternoon or this morning, but I understand by reading it real quick that we do have the people of Russell Sage Foundation coming out of New York and they will be here on the date that we have just set our Commission. Dick, would you elaborate on this just a little bit more as to just what their plans are. I think they want to visit Marsh Island and Rockefeller and some other places, and it may have some conflict here with our meeting.

MR. YANCEY: Mr. Hugh Kline, President of the Russell Sage Foundation, will be in Louisiana on October 28. They are coming in on the evening of the 27th of October and they want to make an inspection trip of the Marsh Island Wildlife Refuge on the 28th, and then they want to go to Baton Rouge on the 29th and hopefully meet with the Governor and the Mineral Board people and over in the Atchafalaya Basin Division of the Department of Public Works. They asked that we set these appointments up for them and, of course, go with them, so we do have a conflict. I believe somebody said the fourth Tuesday would be the 29th



and we have a conflict with the regularly scheduled Commission dates there.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have to be out of the United States. I have to leave here on the 22nd and I won't get back until the 27th. Of course, I realize that these other people are more important, but I had planned to fly back into New Orleans on the 27th in order to attend the meeting.

MR. YANCEY: Perhaps later on in that same week then, say the 30th or 31st. We could meet on a Wednesday and Thursday rather than a Monday and Tuesday.

THE CHAIRMAN: I can handle that very good.

MR. YANCEY: This is the foundation that has donated Marsh Island to the State of Louisiana back in 1920, and they come down about once a year and make an inspection of the island.

MR. BERRY: I think the 30th and 31st are good, and I will so move, if it is in order.

THE CHAIRMAN: O. K. Then I will change the dates to the 30th and 31st, Wednesday and Thursday, 30th and 31st. That will accommodate



everybody.

MR. WILLE: Mr. Chairman, this is under the heading of other business. Not very long ago we had discussed the setting of the seasons for the Commission and I believe we had voted down Mr. Thompson on this meeting in Alexandria. After due consideration and much wining and dining on the part of Mr. Thompson (laughter), I feel that the meeting in Alexandria is very important, because it is the central part of the state and I feel that it is more convenient for the hunters in north Louisiana and south Louisiana. Therefore, I would move that our June meeting for hunting seasons be set at Alexandria this year.

THE CHAIRMAN: May meeting.

MR. WILLE: May meeting, excuse me. May or June, whichever month it is, that the setting of the seasons be moved to Alexandria.

MR. BERRY: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I am faced now with a tremendous problem. Let me think just a second. Before a motion that has been carried can be reconsidered, it has to be proposed by a



person who voted for it.

MR. WILLE: I voted for it.

THE CHAIRMAN: You did?

MR. WILLE: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then we can reconsider a motion. I am going to get my book and read it and memorize it, but I am right, am I not?

MR. BERRY: The Chairman is always right.

MR. WILLE: You are always right, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: You did vote for the previous motion?

MR. WILLE: That is right.

MR. THOMPSON: And the seconder voted for it, too.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, the seconder doesn't matter. Therefore, gentlemen --

MR. THOMPSON: For crying out loud, call for the vote! (Laughter)

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to be on safe ground. These minutes will be read for the next forty years! O. K.

MR. WILLE: In forty years we are not



going to worry about it, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. We now have before the Board a motion to reconsider and a motion to -- I can't state that.

MR. ANGELLE: What we are saying is we are not having our Alexandria meeting in Baton Rouge.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are rescinding the motion to have the May meeting in Baton Rouge and we are going to vote yea or nay to having it in Alexandria in May.

MR. DUPUY: Question, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Wille, your motion is only for the year 1975, this coming meeting?

MR. WILLE: Well, yes, I would say for the next year, but to me it doesn't make any difference. We could have it there from now on, because it is not that far for me to come.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any other discussion? Those in favor of having the May meeting in Alexandria instead of Baton Rouge, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed, no.



(No response)

The motion is carried.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, I abstained from voting on the ground it might tend to incriminate me!

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, the meeting is adjourned now to the Director's office for the Board and Dr. St. Amant and Mr. Yancey.

. . . Thereupon, at 11:55 o'clock a.m., the regular monthly Board meeting of the Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission was adjourned. . . .

Kathryn G. Chamberlin,
Reporter.



