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## Crappie

- White crappie Pomoxis annularis

- Black Crappie Pomoxis nigromaculatus



## Reproduction

- Begins when water temperature reaches $60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- February in South LA through April in North LA
- Move to shallow water to spawn
- Females spawn with several males
-30,000 - 200,000 eggs per season
- Highly successful spawn ~every 3-5 years
- "Boom and bust"

Spawning success is likely based on temperature and water level stability along with minimal wave action.

## Mortality

- Two sources, natural and angler-induced
- Annual mortality ranges from 41\% (Caddo '11-'13) to 93\% (Poverty Point '10-'12)
- Fishing pressure estimated at 31-68\% of total mortality, on avg. $41 \%$


Annual mortality is the total percentage of fish in a population that die every year. Mortality comes from two sources: natural causes, i.e. predation, disease, injuries, spawning stress, complications from old age; and anglers either harvesting or fish dying post-catch

## Sampling

- Difficult to sample effectively with electrofishing and gill nets
- Lead nets have been found to be best method
- Creel surveys
- No single method is perfect
- 21 population assessments completed or in proaress


All sampling methods have biases, and we do our best to use adequate data sources and methods to answer questions.

Gill nets can catch larger fish in open water not sampled with other methods. Crappie also move between shallow and deep water seasonally, and different life stages utilize different habitats.


Crappie are on a boom and bust cycle, and prone to overcrowding and stunting.
This is an example of how different sampling can look year to year. D'Arbonne has relatively consistent recruitment for crappie, and is still highly variable in sampling, and this is why we do three year assessments on crappie. You can see shifts in species likely due to turbidity changes.

## Statewide Age Structure

- Most crappie <3 years old
- Average waterbody maximum age 6.23



## Growth Rates

| Statewide Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Length | Avg Years |
| $8 \prime$ | 1.72 |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.53 |
| $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.88 |

Growth rate is dictated by productivity, temperature, competition (in many forms), prey availability, habitat suitability, genetic factors, and other environmental factors.

If hatched in March 2023, $8^{\prime \prime}$ in November 2024, 10" in September 2025, and 12" in January 2027

Crappie in Louisiana grow relatively fast compared to northern latitudes, but don't live as long. For example, crappie in Wisconsin average 3 " at 1 year, $7.2^{\prime \prime}$ at 3 years, 9.6 " at 5 years, and $13.1^{\prime \prime}$ at 10 years.


Growth rates are generally higher in north LA reservoirs

D'Arbonne is outlier in north LA, and special regulations have been enacted to see if we can help speed up growth. Factors leading to that include reliable reproductive success, high abundance of small crappie, declining productivity,, and possible habitat issues



Growth to $12^{\prime \prime}$ is tricky to model due to dwindling numbers of individuals at that size. We have begun incorporating gill net data for growth rate estimates, and should get a better handle on large fish going forward.

## Louisiana Crappie Regulations

| LOCATION | SIZE LIMIT | DAILY CREEL LIMIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All state waters EXCEPT as follows: | NONE | 50 daily; 100 fish possession limit |
| Poverty Point, Caddo Lake, and Sabine River | NONE | 25 daily; 50 fish possession limit |
| Toledo Bend Reservoir | NONE | 25 daily; 100 fish possession limit |
| Eagle Lake (Madison Parish) | 11" MLL | 30 daily; 30 possession limit |
| Bussey Brake | 10" MLL | 25 daily, in the aggregate <br> 25 fish while on the water and 50 fish while off the water possession limit, in the aggregate. |
| D'Arbonne Lake |  | 50 daily, in the aggregate, of which no more than 7 may exceed 12 " in length <br> 50 fish while on the water and 100 fish while off the water possession limit, in the aggregate. |

Special regulations for Caddo, Sabine, Toledo Bend, and Eagle Lake are all border waters with agreements with neighboring states.

Poverty Point is a small and relatively new lake, and special limits were deemed necessary due to high pressure. Bussey Brake is a similar situation with the recent renovation.

In D'Arbonne we are seeing if a conservative change to regulations, limiting the number of large crappie that are allowed to be taken, can shift the population toward larger fish by encouraging the harvest of small fish.

## Other States' Crappie Regulations

| STATE | Daily Creel Limit | MLL | Special Creel Limits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 30 | 9 Inch | none |
| Arkansas | 20 North-30 South | none | 10 -none |
| Florida | 25 | none | $10-30$ |
| Georgia | 30 | none | none |
| Louisiana | 50 | none | $25-50^{*}$ |
| Mississippi | 30 | none | $5-20$ |
| Missouri | 30 | none | $15-30^{*}$ |
| Oklahoma | 37 | none | $6-15$ |
| Tennessee | 15 | 10 Inch | $10-50$ |
| Texas | 25 | 10 Inch | $5-37$ |
| * lits nur |  |  |  |

*     - limits number of fish over certain size

Summary of crappie regs in some SE States: The statewide creel limits of most states have been around a long time and in place before tools were available to adequately assess populations.

Odd Oklahoma limit (37). Mention that 37 is simply the midpoint between two opposing proposals (25 \& 50).

Call attention to Texas 10 " MLL. We shared the results of our TB crappie mortality study with them. They agreed with our conclusions and repealed their 10 " MLL on crappie for all LA-TX border waters (TB, Caddo \& Sabine River).

## IN SUMMARY:

The new tools we have available for crappie management are helping us understand the dynamics of Louisiana crappie fisheries and it's anglers. It's become clear that factors that affect recruitment are the most significant influence. Though environmental factors are unpredictable and beyond our
control, there may be potential for actions to ensure good recruitment in some cases.

Continued sampling of the resource and anglers that enjoy it are needed. That work is now underway.

## LA Creel Surveys, 1989-2022

- No harvest difference between 1989-2005 and 20062022.
- 56 BOWs, 9,841 parties and 17,456 crappie anglers
- 50 fish limits - 52 (0.30\%)
- 25 or more fish - 482 (2.8\%)
- $22.9 \%$ of parties interviewed $(2,251)$ harvested at least 1 crappie $\geq 12$-inches


## Creel Limit Reduction

- Bag limits require significant reduction to lower harvest
- Would lead to decreased harvest of small fish

| Creel Limit | \%Fish Saved |
| ---: | ---: |
| 5 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 10 | $28.4 \%$ |
| 15 | $16.4 \%$ |
| 20 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 25 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 30 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 35 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 40 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 45 | $0.1 \%$ |



## Live Imaging Sonar, D'Arbonne Example

- Harvest distributions significantly different (KS test, p<0.0001
- Higher harvest rate of smaller fish with traditional gear


In AR, LIS users caught 2.4 crappie/hr, while non-users caught 1.1 crappie/hr. They did not show a difference in size.

D'Arbonne also showed about double the harvest rate.

## Conclusion

- Population modeling used to test efficacy of regulations
- Most anglers do not catch 25 or 50 crappie; limits would have to be drastically lowered to impact harvest rates
- Lower harvest rates of smaller fish would impede growth rates
- LIS users show increase in catch of larger fish; we are monitoring


# Questions? <br> Robby Maxwell <br> rmaxwell@wlf.la.gov 

## Louisiana Records-Black Crappie

| RANK | WEIGHT (LBS) | ANGLER | SITE CAUGHT | DATE CAUGHT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.84 | R. Causey | Poverty Point Res. | April 2010 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$ | C. Tidwell | Caney Lake | February 2003 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.55 | J. Crouch, Jr. | Toledo Bend | March 2004 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 3.47 | F. Meche | Lake Henderson | September 2004 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ | B. Nola | Caney Lake | January 2003 |

NOTE: All crappie verified by a biologist and weighed on certified scales. Information acquired from the Louisiana Outdoor Writers Association (LOWA; https://louisianaoutdoorwriters.com)

## Louisiana Records-White Crappie

| RANK | WEIGHT (LBS) | ANGLER | SITE CAUGHT | DATE CAUGHT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.80 | T. Ricca | Lake Verret | May 2010 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.76 | J. Reeves | Bussey Brake | February 2023 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.65 | S. Hodge | Bussey Brake | February 2022 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 0}$ | J. Griffin | Bussey Brake | February 2021 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ | S. Adams | Bussey Brake | February 2022 |

NOTE: All crappie verified by a biologist and weighed on certified scales. Information acquired from the Louisiana Outdoor Writers Association (LOWA; https://louisianaoutdoorwriters.com)

Bussey Brake dominates top five. Small, recently renovated impoundment. High harvest pressure and high productivity leads to large fish.

## Understanding Mortality



Total mortality is comprised of natural mortality (disease, predation, etc..) and fishing mortality (fish harvested or inadvertently killed by anglers)

## FOR EXAMPLE:

We have already determined Total Mortality for two lakes:
Toledo Bend: 81\%
Darbonne Lake: 73\%

Simplified explanation of mortality:
1000 fish x 81\% = 810 fish > 190 remaining Year 1.
190 fish $\times 81 \%=154$ fish $>36$ remaining Year 2
$36 \times 81 \%=29$ fish > 7 fish remaining Year 3
$7 \times 81 \%=$ less than 1 fish remaining in Year 4

